Noise-assisted classical adiabatic pumping in a symmetric periodic potential

O. Usmani,¹ E. Lutz,^{1,2} and M. Büttiker¹

¹Département de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève, 24 Quai Ernest Ansermet, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland

²Sloane Physics Laboratory, Yale University, P.O. Box 208120, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8120

(Received 16 April 2002; published 26 August 2002)

We consider a classical overdamped Brownian particle moving in a *symmetric* periodic potential. We show that a net particle flow can be produced by adiabatically changing two external periodic potentials with a phase difference φ in time and χ in space. The classical pumped current is found to be independent of the friction and to vanish both in the limit of low and high temperature. Below a critical temperature, adiabatic pumping appears to be more efficient than transport due to a constant external force.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.66.021111

PACS number(s): 05.40.Jc, 05.60.-k

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been considerable interest in smallamplitude adiabatic pumping in mesoscopic electrical conductors [1]. Two oscillating out-of-phase perturbations are applied, which lead to small distortions of the shape of the system. As a consequence a directed current is generated [2]. The pumped current is a consequence of quantum interference effects. An elegant formulation of the problem has been achieved by Brouwer [3] based on the modulation of the emissivities of the system [4]. Inelastic scattering does not suppress the pumped current but introduces an additional more classical contribution to the pumped current due to rectification [5,6]. For a broader view of this very active field we refer to a few recent works [7–11].

Adiabatic pumping is of general interest due to the fact that only very slow perturbations are required: furthermore, if the amplitudes are small the system remains at all times close to the stationary equilibrium state. Thus parametric adiabatic pumping can be viewed as a tool to investigate the near equilibrium properties of a system. Since perturbations can be applied locally, such an investigation gives information on the system that cannot be obtained through the application of global and stationary forces.

It is the purpose of this work to complement the quantum mechanical discussions mentioned above and to investigate parametric pumping for a purely classical system. We consider particles subject to damping and thermal noise in a symmetric periodic potential $V_0(x) = V_0(-x)$. In addition to the static potential $V_0(x)$ two small-amplitude timedependent oscillatory potentials act on the particles. The perturbations we consider are periodic in time and are periodic in space with the same period as the static potential. We investigate the case where the perturbations have a *double* phase difference both in *time* and *space*. As in the quantum case, a directed current is generated, which is proportional to the frequency of the oscillating perturbations and proportional to the product of their amplitudes. A directed current results for almost all types of perturbations, unless these perturbations have a special symmetry (phase differences equal to a multiple of π). Interestingly, for the small-amplitude perturbations considered here, the thermal noise is essential: the pumped current vanishes in the zero-temperature limit, is maximal at some intermediate temperature, and vanishes in the high-temperature limit.

A symmetry breaking of the system is necessary to generate a directed current. In the noise-assisted parametric pumping process discussed here the symmetry is broken not with the help of the static potential $V_0(x)$ but through the perturbations applied to the system. Nonequilibrium statedependent noise with the same period as the potential but out of phase with a symmetric static potential also leads to a directed current [12–16]. Thus this is an example of directed motion in a symmetric potential for which the symmetry is broken not by the static potential but only by the nonequilibrium noise. Similarly directed motion can be obtained in systems with a spatially symmetric potential but with a friction constant that is state dependent [17,18]. We emphasize the symmetry of the static problem, since typically, the recent literature has emphasized directed transport in systems in which already the static potential [19-21] is asymmetric $V_0(x) \neq V_0(-x)$. The examples discussed here and in Refs. [12–16] demonstrate that static symmetric breaking, i.e., the consideration of a *ratchet* potential [22-26] is not necessary, if either nonequilibrium noise or perturbations applied to the system act in a symmetry breaking way.

Quasiadiabatic perturbations of particles subject to the Smoluchowski equation have recently been investigated by Parrondo [27]. Below we present a discussion of small-amplitude parametric pumping that closely follows the discussion by Parrondo [27].

II. PARAMETRIC PUMPING

The overdamped motion of a classical particle in an external potential and subjected to thermal noise is governed by the Smoluchowski equation for the probability density $\rho(x,t)$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho(x,t) = \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\partial V(x,\vec{T}(t))}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right] \rho(x,t)$$
$$= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} J(x,t)\rho(x,t), \qquad (1)$$

where μ is the mobility, β the inverse temperature, and $J(x,t) = -\mu V'(x,\vec{T}(t)) - \mu kT \partial/\partial x$ is the current operator.

Here the prime denotes derivative with respect to x. We consider a total potential $V(x, \vec{T}(t))$ that is written as a sum of a symmetric periodic potential

$$V_0(x) = V_0[1 - \cos(2\pi x/a)]$$
(2)

with period a, plus a perturbation

$$\Delta V(x, \tilde{T}(t)) = X_1(x)T_1(t) + X_2(x)T_2(t).$$
(3)

Here $X_1(x)$ and $X_2(x)$ are arbitrary spatial functions with period *a* and similarly $T_1(t)$ and $T_2(t)$ are arbitrary functions of time with period $2\pi/\omega$. We consider two special examples with purely harmonic driving. In both examples the time-dependent external perturbation is composed of two sinusoidal potentials with amplitude V_A and V_B . In the first example, the spatial functions act over the entire period,

$$\Delta V(x, \tilde{T}(t)) = -V_A \cos(2\pi x/a) \cos(\omega t) -V_B \cos(2\pi x/a + \chi) \cos(\omega t + \varphi)$$
(4)

with a phase difference χ in space and a phase difference φ in time. In the second example, driving is spatially localized at two arbitrary points x_1 and x_2 in the interval [0,a],

$$\Delta V(x, \tilde{T}(t)) = -V_A \cos(\omega t) \,\delta(x_1 - 2\,\pi x/a) -V_B \cos(\omega t + \varphi) \,\delta(x_2 - 2\,\pi x/a), \quad (5)$$

where δ is the Dirac δ function. In the following we assume that $\Delta V(x, \vec{T}(t))$ changes slowly in time and that its amplitude is small compared to the unperturbed potential V_A , $V_B \ll V_0$.

The quantity of prime interest is the mean particle current, averaged over one period of space and one period of time,

$$\langle I \rangle = \frac{\omega}{2\pi a} \int_0^a dx \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} dt J(x,t) \rho(x,t)$$
$$= -\frac{\mu \omega}{2\pi a} \int_0^a dx \int_0^{2\pi/\omega} dt V'(x,\vec{T}(t)) \rho(x,t).$$
(6)

Due to the periodicity of the potential in time and space, the second term of J(x,t) does not contribute to the current. We begin by solving the Smoluchowski equation (1) in the limit of small driving frequencies $\omega \rightarrow 0$. In this limit, the system remains close to the adiabatic solution $\rho_0^-(x,t)$, which is obtained by setting in Eq. (1) $\partial \rho / \partial t = 0$. The latter is given by an equilibrium Boltzmann distribution

$$\rho_{0}^{\pm}(x,t) = Z_{\pm}^{-1}(t)e^{-\beta V(x,T(t))},$$
$$Z_{\pm}(t) = \int_{0}^{a} dx e^{\pm\beta V(x,\tilde{T}(t))},$$
(7)

and therefore does not yield any current. [Here we have in addition to the adiabatic solution $\rho_0^-(x,t)$ introduced $\rho_0^+(x,t)$ for later reference.] Since the adiabatic solution is not associated with a current flow, we need to find the cor-

rection to this solution to determine the current. We expect that the correction to the adiabatic solution is of the order of the variation rate of the perturbation [27], which, for the potential $\Delta V(x, \vec{T}(t))$ we consider here, is given by ω . We thus seek a solution of the Smoluchowski equation of the form

$$\rho(x,t) \simeq \rho_0^-(x,t) + \vec{T}(t) \,\vec{\nu}(x,\vec{T}(t)). \tag{8}$$

The correction $\vec{T}(t)\vec{\nu}(x,\vec{T}(t))$, of order ω , to the adiabatic solution $\rho_0^-(x,t)$ gives rise to the nonvanishing particle current. Inserting the ansatz (8) into the Smoluchowski equation (1) and neglecting the time derivative of the correction, which is of the order ω^2 , we arrive at

$$\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{\partial V(x, \vec{T}(t))}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right] \vec{\nu}(x, \vec{T}(t)) = \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{T}(t)} \rho_0^-(x, t).$$
(9)

This second-order partial differential equation for $\vec{\nu}(x, \vec{T}(t))$ has to be solved with periodic boundary conditions $\vec{\nu}(0, \vec{T}(t)) = \vec{\nu}(a, \vec{T}(t))$, and the condition that the integral of $\vec{\nu}(x, \vec{T}(t))$ along the interval [0,a] vanishes. This second condition follows from the normalization of $\rho(x,t)$ over one (spatial) period. We find

$$\vec{\nu}(x,\vec{T}(t)) = \vec{C}_{1}e^{-\beta V(x,\vec{T}(t))} \int_{0}^{x} dy e^{\beta V(y,\vec{T}(t))} + \frac{\beta}{\mu}e^{-\beta V(x,\vec{T}(t))} \int_{0}^{x} dy e^{\beta V(y,\vec{T}(t))} \times \int_{0}^{y} dz \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{T}(t)} \rho_{0}^{-}(z,t) + \vec{C}_{2}e^{-\beta V(x,\vec{T}(t))},$$
(10)

where $\vec{C}_1(t)$ and $\vec{C}_2(t)$ are two vectors of integration constants. Explicitly, $\vec{C}_1(t)$ is given by

$$\vec{C}_{1}(t) = -\frac{\beta}{\mu} \int_{0}^{a} dx \rho_{0}^{+}(x,t) \int_{0}^{x} dy \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{T}(t)} \rho_{0}^{-}(y,t), \quad (11)$$

where $\rho_0^{\pm}(x,t)$ is given by Eq. (7). The solution (8) of the Smoluchowski equation is then obtained by combining Eqs. (7) and (10). Using the above solution, the mean current (6) can be easily calculated as

$$\langle I \rangle = -\frac{\mu \omega}{2\pi\beta} \int_{0}^{2\pi/\omega} dt \vec{\vec{T}}(t) \vec{C}_{1}(t)$$

$$= \frac{\omega}{2\pi} \int_{\vec{T}(0)}^{\vec{T}(2\pi/\omega)} d\vec{T} \int_{0}^{a} dx \rho_{0}^{+}(x,t) \int_{0}^{x} dy \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{T}(t)} \rho_{0}^{-}(y,t).$$

$$(12)$$

We now take the case $\Delta V(x, \vec{T}(t)) = T_1(t)X_1(x)$ + $T_2(t)X_2(x)$. With $\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{T}(t)} = \partial/\partial T_1 + \partial/\partial T_2$ and Green's theorem, Eq. (12) can be rewritten as

$$\langle I \rangle = \frac{\omega}{2\pi} \int_{A} dT_{1} dT_{2} \int_{0}^{a} dx \int_{0}^{x} dy \left(\frac{\partial \rho_{0}^{+}(x,t)}{\partial T_{1}} \frac{\partial \rho_{0}^{-}(y,t)}{\partial T_{2}} - \frac{\partial \rho_{0}^{+}(x,t)}{\partial T_{2}} \frac{\partial \rho_{0}^{-}(y,t)}{\partial T_{1}} \right).$$
(13)

This is the key result of our paper. Equation (13) gives the pumped current in terms of the derivative of the adiabatic solution $\rho_0^-(x,t)$ and its companion $\rho_0^+(x,t)$. It is valid for slowly time-varying periodic potentials $\Delta V(x, \vec{T}(t))$ of arbitrary shape and arbitrary strength. The expression (13) is most useful for the case of small-amplitude perturbations. In this case the derivatives of $\rho_0^{\pm}(x,t)$ can be evaluated at zero amplitude and the integral over the area enclosed by the path $\int dT_1 dT_2$ is simply a multiplying factor.

III. EXAMPLE WITH GLOBAL DRIVING

We now specialize to the small-amplitude regime. To do so, we can set $T_1 = T_2 = 0$ in the integrand of Eq. (13). For the particular potential $\Delta V(x, \vec{T}(t))$ introduced in Eq. (4) the area enclosed by the pumping path is $\int_A dT_1 dT_2 V_A V_B \sin \varphi \sin \chi$ and a calculation leads to the pumped current

$$\langle I \rangle = \frac{1}{2 \pi V_0^2} \beta V_0 \frac{I_1(\beta V_0)}{I_0^3(\beta V_0)} \omega V_A V_B \sin \varphi \sin \chi, \qquad (14)$$

where $I_0(x)$ and $I_1(x)$ are the hyperbolic Bessel functions of order zero and one, respectively. Equation (14) exhibits the main features of adiabatic pumping. We see that the adiabatically pumped current $\langle I \rangle$ is linear in the pumping frequency ω and the amplitudes V_A and V_B of the two external potentials. The current is proportional to the sines of the temporal and spatial phase differences. An important consequence of Eq. (14) is that the current vanishes if either φ or χ is a multiple of π . This shows that a *double* phase difference, both in time and in space is necessary in order to rectify the noise. More generally, it can be shown that if the perturbation is written as product $\Delta V(x, \vec{T}(t)) = X(x)T(t)$ with X(x)periodic in space and T(t) periodic in time, there is no pumped current for any amplitude. An interesting situation, which offers a simple physical interpretation, is the one for which the current is maximum. This happens when $\varphi, \chi =$ $\pm \pi/2$. By taking $|V_A| = |V_B|$, the perturbation can be rewritten in the form

$$\Delta V(x, \tilde{T}(t)) = V_A \cos(2\pi x/a \pm \omega t), \qquad (15)$$

the sign being determined by the relation between φ and χ and between V_A and V_B . The maximum current is hence generated by a traveling wave potential. It is to be expected that a traveling wave potential is a particularly efficient way of generating a current [28,29].

Let us now examine the temperature dependence of the particle current. It is given by the function



FIG. 1. Temperature dependence $f(u = \beta V_0)$, of the adiabatically pumped current (14) (solid line) and the current (17) generated by a constant external force *F* (dashed line).

$$f_{\text{pump}}(u = \beta V_0) = u \frac{I_1(u)}{I_0^3(u)}.$$
 (16)

This function has been plotted in Fig. 1 (solid line). We observe that $f_{pump}(u)$ vanishes both in the limit of low and high temperature and that it reaches a maximum at $u \approx 1.426...$ This behavior can be understood as follows. At very low temperature (large u), thermal activation is negligible. Since the perturbation $\Delta V(x, \vec{T}(t))$ is furthermore very small, the particle remains trapped in the minima of the bare potential $V_0(x)$ and there is no transport. For the small-amplitude perturbations considered here this clearly demonstrates that there is no classical pumping without thermal noise. In the opposite limit of high temperature (small u), thermal fluctuations dominate and we have simple (symmetric) Brownian diffusion with zero average displacement. The maximum pumped current corresponds to a thermal energy of the order of the potential energy.

It is further instructive to compare the adiabatic pumped current (14) with the current created by a small constant (time-and-space independent) external force *F*. In this case the overdamped Brownian particle experiences the potential $V(x) = V_0(x) - Fx$. A similar calculation of the current, up to first order in *F*, yields the following expression (see also Ref. [30]):

$$\langle I \rangle = \frac{\mu F}{a I_0^2 (\beta V_0)}.$$
(17)

The temperature dependence of this force-induced current, given by $f_{\text{force}}(u) = I_0^{-2}(u)$, is shown in Fig. 1 (dashed line). Here, in contrast to adiabatic pumping, the current is maximum at very high temperature. This is due to the presence of a small but finite slope in the potential. In the low-temperature limit both currents are suppressed. We notice, however, that for the force-induced current $f_{\text{force}}(u) \sim ue^{-2u}$, the decay with temperature is asymptotically *faster* than for the pumped current $f_{\text{pump}}(u) \sim u^2 e^{-2u}$. As a consequence, there is a critical temperature β_c below which the pumped current is larger than the current generated by *F*. In this regime, adiabatic pumping is a more efficient transport



FIG. 2. $h(1,x_1,x_2)$ describes the variation of the pumped current with respect to the positions x_1 and x_2 of the δ perturbations. There is no pumped current for $x_1 = x_2$.

mechanism than applying an external force. The value of the critical temperature β_c depends on both the area enclosed by the pumping path, the frequency, and *F*.

IV. EXAMPLE WITH LOCALIZED DRIVING

In the example discussed above the perturbation potential is extended through the entire spatial period of the system. Is this a necessary condition, or is a spatially localized perturbation sufficient to generate a pumping current? To answer this question we consider a perturbation given by Eq. (5). As for the previous example, we can compute the current from Eq. (13) to obtain

$$\langle I \rangle = -\frac{\omega V_A V_B \sin \varphi}{8 \pi^3 V_0^2} \left(\frac{\beta V_0}{I_0(\beta V_0)}\right)^2 h(\beta V_0, x_1, x_2), \quad (18)$$

 $h(\beta V_0, x_1, x_2) = f(\beta V_0, x_1, x_2) + f(-\beta V_0, x_1, x_2),$

where and

$$f(\beta V_0, x_1, x_2) = e^{[2\beta V_0 \sin(x_1 + x_2)\sin(x_1 - x_2)]} \times \left[\theta(x_1 - x_2) + \int_{x_1}^{x_2 - \pi} dx \tilde{\rho}_0^-(x) \right]$$
(19)

with $\tilde{\rho}_0^-(x) = \rho_0^-(x, T_1 = 0, T_2 = 0)$. θ is the Heaviside step function. If we exchange x_1 and x_2 , we change the sign of the current, since it corresponds to a change of the sign of the temporal phase difference. The temperature dependence is similar to the one encountered previously (i.e., the current vanishes for both zero and infinite βV_0). The interesting dependence of the current on x_1 and x_2 is determined by $h(\beta V_0 = 1, x_1, x_2)$, which is plotted in Fig. 2. No current is generated if $x_1 = x_2$. The pumped current [the function $h(\beta V_0, x_1, x_2)$] is discontinuous along the line $x_1 = x_2$. Of



FIG. 3. Variation of the pumped current of the localized parametric pumping model. Shown is the function *h* for (a) $x_2=0$, (b) $x_2=\pi/2$, and (c) $x_2=2\pi-x_1$.

course this discontinuity disappears if instead of a δ function slightly broadened functions are used to describe the perturbation.

The reason that the localized perturbation leads to a pumped current is due to the normalization of the distribution function. As a consequence even a localized perturbation generates a nonlocal response.

Figure 2 gives an overview of the pumping currents that can be achieved with the two localized perturbations. To gain further insight it is useful to consider several cuts through Fig. 2.

In Fig. 3(a), we keep the position of one perturbation at a fixed location $x_2=0$ (at the potential minimum) and consider

the pumping current as a function of the position x_1 of the other perturbation. The pumped current is then maximal if x_1 is at the inflection point of the potential $(x_1 = \pi/2)$. There is no current if the perturbation is located at the maximum of the potential. As x_1 increases further, the current direction is reversed. As x_1 passes through zero, the current jumps from a negative value to a positive value. Note that in this case the pumped current is antisymmetric around $x_1 = \pi$ since one perturbation is located at a symmetry point $(x_2=0)$ of the potential.

In Fig. 3(b), we keep one perturbation at the inflection point of the potential $x_2 = \pi/2$. As a function of x_1 the pumped current jumps at $x_1 = \pi/2$. The current decreases as x_1 increases past $x_2 = \pi/2$, goes through a local minimum, and reaches a local maximum for x_1 just before $x_1 = \pi$. The maximal pumped current is achieved if x_1 is a little to the right of the local potential minimum. Note that in this case we have no symmetry around $\pi/2$ and neither are the two directions of current equivalent.

In Fig. 3(c), we consider the case where the two perturbations are symmetrically located around the potential maximum π . The current then jumps at $x_1 = x_2 = \pi$ and is antisymmetric as a function of x_1 around this point.

For global driving we found that the current is a sinusoidal function of the spatial phase difference between the two perturbations. In contrast, in the local driving model considered here the pumped current depends not only in a simple manner on the spatial distance between the two perturbations but also on their absolute locations in the interval. This leads to the much more complicated behavior depicted in Figs. 2 and 3(b).

V. DISCUSSION

We first point to the remarkable fact that the pumped current is independent of the mobility μ . This should be contrasted with the linear dependence on μ of a current generated with the help of a constant force. That the pumped current is indeed independent of μ can easily be seen by considering Eq. (9). The solution of this equation determines the correction ν of the density to the adiabatic solution: This correction is proportional to μ^{-1} . Since the current operator is proportional to μ the resulting net current is independent of μ . We emphasize that the pumped current is independent of μ not only for the particular models considered here but quite generally for all adiabatic perturbations.

In summary, we have shown that a directed current can be generated in a symmetric periodic potential by adiabatically modulating two small external potential parameters. We have investigated a model with global (spatially periodic) perturbations and a model with localized perturbations. For the model with global perturbations we find that a doubletemporal and spatial-phase difference is necessary to generate a current. The maximum pumped current is obtained for a temperature kT of the order of the potential height V_0 and for a perturbative potential corresponding to a traveling wave $(\varphi, \chi = \pm \pi/2)$. Similarly for the model with localized perturbations we find a pumped current unless the two perturbations are located at the same position or have a spatial difference of π . Pumping arises through the subtle interplay between thermal fluctuations and cyclic variations of the potential. It therefore disappears in the limit of low and high temperature when either the potential or the thermal energy becomes predominant. We have demonstrated the existence of a potential-dependent critical temperature below which adiabatic pumping is more efficient than applying a small constant external force. The work presented here can be extended in different directions: Systems with open boundary conditions, several space dimensions, and inertial effects are possible subjects for further research.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank M. Moskalets and A. Alekseev for discussions. This work was supported by the RTD network Nanoscale Dynamics, Coherence and Computation, and by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

- M. Switkes, C.H. Marcus, K. Campman, and A.C. Gossard, Science 283, 1905 (1999).
- [2] F. Zhou, B. Spivak, and B. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 608 (1999).
- [3] P.W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. B 58, R10 135 (1998).
- [4] M. Büttiker, H. Thomas, and A. Prêtre, Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter 94, 133 (1994).
- [5] M. Moskalets and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. B 64, 201305(R) (2001).
- [6] J.N.H.J. Cremers and P.W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. B 65, 115333 (2002).
- [7] J.E. Avron, A. Elgart, G.M. Graf, and L. Sadun, Phys. Rev. B
 62, R10 618 (2000); Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 236601 (2001).
- [8] A. Alekseev, e-print cond-mat/0201474.
- [9] M. Moskalets and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. B 66, 035306 (2002).

- [10] M.L. Polianski, M.G. Vavilov, and P.W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. B 65, 245314 (2002).
- [11] B. Wang and J. Wang, e-print cond-mat/0204067; B. Wang, J. Wang, and H. Guo, Phys. Rev. B 65, 073306 (2002).
- [12] M. Büttiker, Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter 68, 161 (1987).
- [13] N.G. van Kampen, IBM J. Res. Dev. 32, 107 (1988).
- [14] R. Landauer, J. Stat. Phys. 53, 233 (1988).
- [15] Ya.M. Blanter and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4040 (1998).
- [16] T. Hondou and K. Sekimoto, Phys. Rev. E 62, 6021 (2000); K. Sekimoto, F. Takagi, and T. Hondou, *ibid.* 62, 7759 (2000).
- [17] R.H. Luchsinger, Phys. Rev. E 62, 272 (2000).
- [18] D. Dan, M.C. Mahato, and A.M. Jayannavar, Physica A 296, 375 (2001).
- [19] F. Jülicher, A. Adjari, and J. Prost, Rev. Mod. Phys. 69, 1269 (1997).

O. USMANI, E. LUTZ, AND M. BÜTTIKER

- [21] P. Reimann, Phys. Rep. 361, 57 (2002).
- [22] M.O. Magnasco, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1477 (1993); M.O. Magnasco and G. Stolovitzky, J. Stat. Phys. **93**, 615 (1998).
- [23] R.D. Astumian and M. Bier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1766 (1994).
- [24] M.M. Millonas and D.I. Dykman, Phys. Lett. A 183, 65 (1994).
- [25] C.R. Doering, W. Horsthemke, and J. Riordan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 2984 (1994).
- [26] J. Luczka, R. Bartussek, and P. Hanggi, Europhys. Lett. 31, 431 (1995); J.H. Li, J. Luczka, and P. Hanggi, Phys. Rev. E 64, 011113 (2001).
- [27] J.M.R. Parrondo, Phys. Rev. E 57, 7297 (1998).
- [28] Brownian motion in a traveling wave potential in the absence of a static periodic potential can be mapped on the problem of

a Brownian motion in a static tilted periodic potential. See: R. Landauer and M. Büttiker, Phys. Scr., T **T9**, 155 (1985); L.P. Faucheux, G. Stolovitzky, and A. Libchaber, Phys. Rev. E **51**, 5239 (1995); M. Borromeo and F. Marchesoni, Phys. Lett. A **249**, 8457 (1998).

- [29] In mesoscopic electrical transport surface acoustic waves can be used to generate traveling wave potentials: J.M. Shilton, D.R. Mace, V.I. Talyanskii, Yu. Galperin, M.Y. Simmons, M. Pepper, and D.A. Ritchie, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 8, L337 (1996); V.I. Talyanskii, J.M. Shilton, M. Pepper, C.G. Smith, C.J.B. Ford, E.H. Linfield, D.A. Ritchie, and G.A.C. Jones, Phys. Rev. B 56, 15 180 (1997); O. Entin-Wohlman, Y. Levinson, and P. Wölfle, *ibid.* 64, 195308 (2001).
- [30] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation* (Springer, Berlin, 1989).